UNITED STATES DISTOR THE DISTRICT OF V	HE 2019 MAR 21 PM L. L.2
	CLERK
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	DEPUTY CLERK
Plaintiff,) Case No:
v.	2:19-cv-45
ONE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND, FIVE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE DOLLARS)
AND FORTY CENTS, MORE OR LESS,)
IN U.S. CURRENCY (\$120,595.40),))
Defendant	ĺ

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

Plaintiff, the United States of America (the "United States"), by and through its attorney, Christina E. Nolan, United States Attorney for the District of Vermont, brings this verified complaint and alleges, upon information and belief, as follows in accordance with Rule G(2) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action *in rem* to forfeit and condemn to the use and benefit of the United States all right, title and interest in one hundred twenty thousand, five hundred ninety five dollars and forty cents, more or less, in U.S. currency (\$120,595.40) (the "Defendant Property"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), as proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7) and 1030(a)(4), namely fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features and information, and fraud and related activity in connection with computers.

THE DEFENDANT IN REM

- 2. The Defendant Property is U.S. Currency totaling \$120,595.40, more or less, which was transferred from Howard Sirvent of Middlesex, Vermont to account number 238678376 in the name of CASEY WILLIAMS DBA DOUGLAS FRUITS LTD. OR TRILINC GLOBAL IMPACT FUND ASIA III LTD OR AK COMMODITIES SA, held at J.P. Morgan Chase Bank (JPMC), in New York, New York, on or about March 21, 2018. The Defendant Property was transferred following electronic communications to Sirvent that falsely purported to change the deposit instructions for completion of a sale of real estate.
- 3. On December 26, 2018, the Defendant Property was transferred from JPMC account 238678376 into a Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) account held by the District Court for the Southern District of New York, referencing Case/Party: D-NYS-1-18-CV-007168-001.
- 4. On February 6, 2019, this Court (Hon. John M. Conroy, M.J.) issued a criminal seizure warrant authorizing the United States to maintain custody and control of the Defendant Property, Docket Number 2:19-mc-17. The warrant was served on the clerk's office for the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York in a timely fashion, but as of this date, the government has been unable to secure the funds.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1355(a), as this is a civil action commenced by the United States for the recovery and enforcement of a forfeiture under federal law. The Court also has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), as the Defendant Property is forfeitable as proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7) and 1030(a)(4), namely fraud and related

activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features and information and fraud and related activity in connection with computers.

- 6. The Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the Property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1355(b)(1)(A), as certain acts or omissions giving rise to forfeiture occurred with in this District.
- 7. Likewise, this District is a proper venue for this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1355(b)(1)(A) because certain acts or omissions giving rise to forfeiture occurred in this District.

BASIS FOR THE FORFEITURE

8. The Defendant Property is subject to forfeiture because it is proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7) and 1030(a)(4), namely fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features and information and fraud and related activity in connection with computers.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9. In March 2018, Howard Sirvent of Middlesex, Vermont was attempting to purchase a foreclosed residential property located at 41 North Field Street, Montpelier, Vermont. He worked with attorney James Jamele, Esq. on the proposed purchase. Attorney Jamele used email account jamesjamele@charter.net to communicate with Sirvent about the deal.
- 10. On Wednesday, March 21, 2018, at 9:06:46 AM EDT, Sirvent received an email which appeared to be from Jamele. The email displayed a sender address of

jamesjamele@charter.net and a subject notations "Re: Settlement tax charge." The email also contained a "reply-to" address of jamesjameleesq@aol.com. The body of the email stated:

Do you mind if I left that on and write you back a check at closing for the tax amount? If not I would need to get the statement reapproved. Also I have attached my wire instructions again. There was a transfer made into my CITIZENS Bank Account you Monday that has been pending. There was an issues with the transferring bank and my Account has been placed on hold. I'm trying to resolve the issue with my bank. In other to avoid any delay on your closing, kindly send the wire to my Chase Bank Account. When exactly do you intend sending out wire transfer? Jim Sent from my iPhone.

Attached to the email was a document containing wire instructions identifying JPMC account number 238678376 as the correct payment destination. The document identified James Jamele, Esq. as the wire recipient for funds transfers and provided a contact telephone number of 802-333-0381.

- 11. In response to the email, Sirvent transferred the Defendant Property according to the instructions on the attached document instead of to James Jamele's existing account. Sirvent did not realize he had been defrauded until he arrived at Jamele's office on March 27, 2018 for the property closing and learned the payment had not been received.
- 12. Neither Sirvent nor Jamele have ever had access to JPMC account number 238678376. Further, Jamele is not associated with either email address jamesjameleesq@aol.com or telephone number 802-333-0381².

¹ According to the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), an Internet Standards Organization, Request for Comments (RFC) 2822, the "Reply-To" field indicates the mailbox(es) to which the author of the message suggests that replies be sent. In an email scam, a reply-to field may be used to ensure that any responses go to the actual sender of the message as opposed to the impersonated sender.

² An internet search for the service provider to telephone number 802-333-0381 indicates the number is owned by Bandwidth, a Voice-Over-Internet-Protocol service provider.

13. On December 26, 2018, pursuant to a pending civil suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, the full balance of account number 238678376 in the name of CASEY WILLIAMS DBA DOUGLAS FRUITS LTD. OR TRILINC GLOBAL IMPACT FUND ASIA III LTD OR AK COMMODITIES SA, some \$540,731.10, was transferred into a CRIS account held by the District Court for the Southern District of New York, referencing Case/Party: D-NYS-1-18-CV-007168-001. Based on the records of activity in JPMC account number 238678376, it appears at least the majority of the Defendant Property remained in the account at the time of the transfer to the SDNY CRIS account, and/or the transferred property, as U.S. Currency from the same account, is identical to the Defendant Property.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Forfeiture Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C))

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs
 1 through 13 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 15. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1028 or § 1030, is subject to forfeiture to the United States.
- 16. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 984(a)(2), in any forfeiture action *in rem* in which the subject property is cash, any identical property found in the same place as the property involved in the offense that is the basis for the forfeiture shall be subject to forfeiture, so long as the action to forfeit such identical property is commenced within 1 year from the date of the offense that is the basis for the forfeiture.

17. The Defendant Property is personal property which constitutes proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7) and 1030(a)(4), namely fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features and information and fraud and related activity in connection with computers.

18. Accordingly, all right, title and interest in the Defendant Property is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) and 984(a).

RELIEF REQUESTED

Wherefore, the United States respectfully requests that: (1) due notice be provided to all parties known or believed to have an interest in, or right against, the Defendant Property, so that such parties may appear and show cause why forfeiture of the Defendant Property should not be decreed; (2) all right, title and interest in the Defendant Property be forfeited and condemned to the use and benefit of the United States; and (3) the United States be granted such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 21st day of March, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTINA E. NOLAN United States Attorney

EUGENIA A.P. COWLES

Assistant U.S. Attorney

U.S. Attorney's Office

P.O. Box 570

Burlington, VT 05402-0570

(802) 951-6725

eugenia.cowles@usdoj.gov

Attorney for the Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, Eugenia A.P. Cowles, hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that I am an attorney employed by the U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Attorney's Office, Burlington, Vermont, I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof, and the matters contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except those matters that are alleged therein upon information and belief, which matters I believe to be true.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 21st day of March, 2019.

Eugenia A.P. Cowles

Case 2:19-cv-00045-imc Document 1-1 Filed 03/21/19 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS ECONO)

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil de	This form, approved by tocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	he Judicial Conference TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	of the Uni F THIS FO	ted States in Septem (PRM.)	1974 1974	4, is req	uired for the use of	the Clerk of Co	ourt for th	16
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				One Hundred Twenty Thousand, Five Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars and Forty Cents, More or Less, in U. S. Currency (\$120,595.40) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Eugenia A. P. Cowles, A. 11 Elmwood Ave., 3rd Fl. 802-951-6725				Attorneys (If Kn	iown)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (Ine Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PRI	NCIP	AL PARTIES			
★ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff **Plaintiff** **Plaintiff**	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases O en of This State	Only) PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In	rincipal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				en of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	□ 5	5
NY NATIONAL OF CHAR				en or Subject of a reign Country	□ 3		Foreign Nation		1 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENAL	TY		k here for: Nature NKRUPTCY		escription STATUT	
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	≯ 69	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 90 Other	881 0	422 Appeal 28 USC 158			ment g ced and	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 71 □ 72 □ 74	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act	s 0	□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 391 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 79 > □ 46	20 Other Labor Litigation of Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 22 Naturalization Applies 35 Other Immigration Actions	nt 🗆	870 Tax or I 871 IRS	AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) —Third Party USC 7609	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	J4 Rein Reor	pened Ar	ransferre nother D	d from	☐ 6 Multidist Litigation Transfer	n -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of ca	ause:					liversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		em defendant as mo IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		ived from compu	uter fra	1	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		ı complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Hon. John			-	DOCK	ET NUMBER 2:	19-mc-17		
DATE 3/2/1/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD						<u></u>
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		OGUL	GE		MAG. JUI	DGE 100	3	
						2	:19-CV	CP		